UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,421	10/18/2001	Robert Sackstein	36459-501001US	1314
	7590 01/09/200 N, COHN, FERRIS, GI	EXAMINER		
ONE FINANCIAL CENTER BOSTON, MA 02111			GAMBEL, PHILLIP	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/042,421	SACKSTEIN, ROBERT		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Phillip Gambel	1644		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the	e cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 November 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA	ATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeniods:	an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the opeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the	final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Actino event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY C MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	on, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In X MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee atutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>22 September 2008</u> . A brief in co the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externational appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be fame of AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration	
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form fo appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspond NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	ling number of finally rejected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See att	ached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if s non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <a href="mailto:144">1-44</a> and <a href="mailto:7">7</a> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was in the contract of the sufficient reasons.	ıll rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the sta	atus of the claims after entry is below or attached.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NO See Continuation Sheet.	T place the application in condition for allowance because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/SB/0</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	8) Paper No(s)
	N. W. O. J. W.
	Phillip Gambel/ imary Examiner, Art Unit 1644

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for the reasons of record. Applicant's arguments and the examiner's rebuttal are essentially the same of record. See the previous Office Actions for a more complete analysis. In contrast to applicant's apparent assertions that deleting the recitation of "CD44R1 and CD44R2" obviates the prior art rejections; again, see the previous Office Actions for a more complete analysis for the holding that the prior art also teach CD44H (i.e., HCELL / KG1a CD44 protein) recited in the instant claims. For example, see the evidentiary references by the inventor, namely Sackstein (J. Invest. Dermatol. 122: 1061-1069, 2004) Sackstein (US 2003/0040607 as well as Dimitroff et al. (J. Biol. Chem. 276: 47623 - 47631, 2001).